UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,818	01/05/2004	Koji Horisaki	247213US2	1633
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			FLORES, LEON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assign Comment	10/750,818	HORISAKI, KOJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAIL NO DATE (III	Leon Flores	2635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45)3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s)						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
dec the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/01/2004. 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 6) Other:						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/750,818

Art Unit: 2635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3-11, 13-15, & 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Health, Jr. et al. (US Patent 6,298,092), and in view of Yoshida (US Patent 6,452,964 B1).

Re claim 1, Health, Jr. et al discloses a digital communication device (see Fig. 2), comprising: a relative likelihood calculator which calculates a relative likelihood expressing differences and ratios between the most probable likelihood among the calculated likelihoods and the other likelihoods (see Fig. 5A. One of ordinary skill would know that calculating the minimum Euclidean distance will yield the maximum likelihood

criterion.); and a transmission parameter deciding unit (see Fig. 3: element 66) which decides a transmission parameter composed of at least one of modulation scheme, a coding rate and a transmission power for a signal to be transmitted at next time, based on the calculated relative likelihood (see col. 8, lines 3-43 & col. 9, lines 6-52, col. 10, lines 36-42 & Fig. 4: element 106 & Fig. 5A.). But fails to specifically disclose a decoder which calculates likelihoods indicative of probability in which a signal received from another communication device corresponds to a plurality of signal sequences. However, Yoshida does. (see Fig. 2: element 107 & col. 6, lines 31-37.)

Yoshida discloses an adaptive modulation method which estimate a modulation level corresponding to the maximum likelihood value of all the likelihood values (or functions) a the modulation level as a modulation level of the received signal. The maximum likelihood estimation can be used not only for an adaptation modulation system, but also for a power adaptation and rate adaptation.

Taking the combined teaching of Health, Jr. et al and Yoshida <u>as a whole</u>, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modify the system of Health, Jr. et al in the manner as claimed and as taught by Yoshida, for the benefit of calculating the estimating the squared error of the minimum value of distances.

Re claim 3, the combination of Health, Jr. et al and Yoshida further discloses that, wherein said transmission parameter deciding unit includes: a modulation scheme selector which selects the modulation scheme of the signal to be transmitted at next time based on the calculated relative likelihood; a coding rate selector which selects the

Art Unit: 2635

coding rate of the signal to be transmitted at next time based on the calculated relative likelihood; and a transmission power selector which selects the transmission power of the signal to be transmitted at next time based on the calculated relative likelihood. (In Yoshida, see col. 10, lines 1-6.)

Re claim 4, the combination of Health, Jr. et al and Yoshida further discloses that, comprising a memory which stores result selected by said modulation scheme selector, said coding rate selector and said transmission power selector wherein said modulation scheme selector, said coding selector and said transmission power selector switch the selected contents, and said memory updates the stored contents, when a prescribed time is passed, or a prescribed condition is satisfied. (In Health, Jr. et al., see Fig. 3: element 78 & col. 8, lines 3-43. & Fig. 2: element 40 & col. 6, lines 26-41.)

Re claim 5, the combination of Health, Jr. et al and Yoshida further discloses that, further comprising: an encoder which codes a signal to be transmitted to the other communication devices based on the coding rate selected by said coding rate selector; and a modulator which modulates a signal coded by said encoder and transmits the modulated signal with transmission power selected by said transmission power selector based on the modulation scheme selected by said modulation scheme selector. (In Health, Jr. et al., see Fig. 3: element 56)

Art Unit: 2635

Re claim 6, the combination of Health, Jr. et al and Yoshida further discloses that, further comprising a data converter which transmits a signal to be transmitted to the other communication device which has transmitted the received signal, including the transmission parameter decided by said transmission parameter deciding unit. (In Health, Jr. et al., see Fig. 5A: element 118 & 120)

Re claim 7, the combination of Health, Jr. et al and Yoshida further discloses that, further comprising a demodulator which demodulates the received signal transmitted from the other communication device (In Yoshida, see Fig. 2: element 107), wherein said decoder decides whether or not the signal demodulated by said demodulator corresponds to any of said plurality of signal sequences (In Yoshida, see Fig. 4 & col. 9, lines 11-35); and said relative likelihood calculator calculates the relative likelihood based on a result decoded by said decoder. (In Yoshida, see col. 9, lines 56-67)

Claims 8-11 & 15 have been analyzed and rejected in view of claim 1 above.

Claims 13 & 17 have been analyzed and rejected in view of claim 3 above.

Claims 14 & 18 have been analyzed and rejected in view of claim 4 above.

Re claim 19, the combination of Health, Jr. et al and Yoshida further discloses that, wherein said first and second communication channels have the same or similar transmission properties. (In Yoshida, see col. 5, lines 66-67 & col. 6, lines 1-3. Or in Health, Jr. et al, see col.6, lines 35-37)

Claims 2, 12, & 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Health, Jr. et al. (US Patent 6,298,092), in view of Yoshida (US Patent 6,452,964 B1), and in further view of Yoshida (US Patent 6,359,934 B1).

Re claim 2, the combination of Health, Jr. et al and Yoshida fails to discloses that, wherein said transmission parameter deciding unit calculates the relative likelihood expressing a difference and a ratio between the most probable likelihood and at least one of an average value, a maximum value and a minimum value of the other likelihoods. However, a second reference belonging to Yoshida does. (see col. 15, lines 44-55 & col. 16, lines 20-23. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would know that, when calculating the maximum likelihood criterion of received signals one have to also estimate the maximum and minimum likelihood value for each received signal. Otherwise, we would not be able to distinguish which of the received signal is the signal of interest.)

Claims 12 & 16 have been analyzed and rejected in view of claim 2 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,818

Art Unit: 2635

Contact

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon Flores whose telephone number is 571-270-1201. The examiner can normally be reached on Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vu Le can be reached on 571-270-1195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LF December 8, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATEUR EXAMINER